

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/683,136	-	11/26/2001	James C. Lyke	PRS078	4093
23425	7590	03/31/2004		EXAMINER	
	H E CALI	LAHAN	- MAI, T	. MAI, TAN V	
377 ABW/JAN 2251 MAXWELL SE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
KIRTLAND AFB, NM 87117				2124	2
	,			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/683,136	LYKE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tan V Mai	2124				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed  ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 No.	ovember 2001.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		` ' · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)		. 8				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/683,136

Art Unit: 2124

- 1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the Abstract contains the undefined acronyms "ADC" and "DAC". All such acronyms should be defined at the instance of their first use within the Abstract. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 2. The drawings are objected to because the number "12c" in Fig. 14 should be -14c--. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the
  Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings
  will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
  In "Brief Description of Drawings"; Fig. 3 and Fig. 7 should be mentioned.
  The numbers "FIG. 13 shows ... (11a) ... and (11b)" (Paragraph [0032]) should be -- ... (13a) ... and (13b)-Appropriate correction is required.
- 4. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claim 1, the claim language seems to be vague and indefinite. For instance, although the claim recites "plurality of **digital** programmable switching devices" (line 4), the claim7 also recites "one or more **analog**-to-digital converters" (line 7) [coupled to the "plurality of **digital** programmable switching devices"]. Do the "plurality of **digital** programmable switching devices" provide **analog** results? Does the

Application/Control Number: 09/683,136

Art Unit: 2124

claim omit essential elements? See MPEP 2172.01 for "incomplete" claim. The terms "may be" (line 8) and "can inject" (line 11) are indefinite.

As per claim 4, it seems to be **BOTH** the "digital-to-analog converters" (lines 8-9) and "analog-to-digital converters" (line 11) coupled to FPID. Does the FPID provide both digital and analog results? The terms "may be" (line 12) and "can inject" (line 9) are indefinite.

As per claim 5, the claim language is vague and indefinite. For instance, although the preamble of claim 5 claims "a method of **solving Poisson partial differential equation**", the claim fails to recite the necessary detail step(s) (or physical structures) to perform the recited function(s) nor are there any recitation describing how such step(s) (or elements) is actually provided in the method. Sufficient detail step(s) or elements must be recited to adequately describe and constitute the proposed method. What is the result of the method? Therefore, the claim is incomplete in that it recites only a portion of the methodology required for the method to become operational, i.e., it omit essential steps. See MPEP 2172.01.

- 5. Claims 1-5 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cited references are art of interest.
- 7. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the recorded references do NOT teach or suggest the apparatus / method for solving "Laplacian /

Application/Control Number: 09/683,136

Art Unit: 2124

Poisson partial differential equation" having "Dirichlet boundary conditions" feature as recited in independent claims.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan V. Mai whose telephone number is (703) 305-9761. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri from 6:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki, can be reached on (703) 305-9662. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are:

After-final

(703) 746-7238

Official

(703) 746-7239

Non-Official/Draft (703) 746-7240.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4